



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,122	02/05/2001	Heinz Greiner	188.463	2422	
20311	7590 05/22/2002				
BIERMAN MUSERLIAN AND LUCAS			EXAMINER		
600 THIRD A NEW YORK	- · · - -	NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Q

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		09/777,122		GREINER, HEINZ		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
The SCALL WAS DATE of the		Lan Nguyen		3683		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be time of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from t	will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		— · s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			and aution on to the many its is		
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 45	is O.G. 213.		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers	election requiremer	nt.			
9) 7	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to b	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[∑	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application h	as been recei	ved.		
Attachment(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	55 .== 0	······································		
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (F ce of Informal Pat er: attachment #1	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)		
S Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		on Summary		Part of Paper No. 4		

Application/Control Number: 09/777,122

Art Unit: 3683

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claim 2 recites the limitation "the acute angle ... is about 15 degrees." This limitation is not disclosed in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 3 claims "another raceway ... approximately quarter circle cross-section for the balls" on lines 3 and 4. This raceway has been claimed on line 6 of claim 1. Applicant's figure 1 shows only one raceway 11 with a quarter circle cross-section. It is believed that Applicant intended for claim 1 to recite a --half circle cross-section-- on line 6 instead of "quarter circle cross-section".
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3683

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 claims "another raceway ... approximately quarter circle cross-section for the balls" on lines 3 and 4. This raceway has been claimed on line 6 of claim 1. Applicant's figure 1 shows only one raceway 11 with a quarter circle cross-section. It is believed that Applicant intended for claim 1 to recite a --half circle cross-section-- on line 6 instead of "quarter circle cross-section".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless - '

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tonogai.

Re: claims 1, 2 and 7, Tonogai shows a linear rolling bearing, as in the present invention, comprising: a guide carriage with a U-shaped cross section in figure 8 having a U-crossbar and two U-legs so that the guide carriage forms a carriage cavity and

Application/Control Number: 09/777,122

Art Unit: 3683

partially surrounds a guide rail while being slidably supported through balls on two longitudinal sides of the guide rail, each U-leg of the guide carriage having on an inner surface opposing the guide rail a ground raceway with an approximately quarter circle cross-section 11 for the balls and stop surface 21 having a retaining contour for a guide member 50 containing the balls B is configured on a guide rail-distal outer surface of each U-leg of the guide carriage. Tonogai discloses the structure of the linear rolling bearing as claimed in claim 1. Tonogai is silent of a process of grinding the stop surface and the raceway of the U-leg using one grinding wheel and are made in one common work step. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed Tonogai's linear rolling bearing using a one step process in order to save cost and time. Saving cost and time is always a goal in any manufacturing process. It appears that the Applicant is claiming the linear rolling bearing by the process that it is made. The court rules, see In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 697, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), that the determination of patentability in a product-by process claim is based on the product itself, even though the claim may be limited and defined by the process.

Re: claim 4, figure 7(a) shows the guide member 50 to be detachably fixed on the guide carriage and extend in longitudinal direction from raceways of the guide rail 96, the balls form endless ball circuits and the guide members comprise for each ball circuit, a region for load-bearing balls, a return canal for returning balls and two deflecting canals that connect the region for load-bearing balls and the return canal to each other at ends thereof.

Re: claim 5, see figures 7(a) and 8.

Re: claim 6, figure 8 shows the opening of the lower return canal to be smaller than the diameter of the balls inserted in the return canal (see attachment #1).

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shirai, Hirose, Lecomte, Fujiwara et al., Teramachi et al., Konomoto and Teramachi (USP 4693040, 4674893, 4644702, 4478462) show various linear rolling bearings.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-8347. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,122

Art Unit: 3683

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

XLN

May 13, 2002

MACK LAVINDER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISOR OGY CENTER 3600

5/13/02

ATTACHMENT # 1

